

**Buckingham County
Board of Supervisors
Monthly Meeting
May 9, 2016**

At a regular monthly meeting of the Buckingham County Board of Supervisors held on Monday, May 9, 2016 at 7:00 p.m. in the Peter Francisco Auditorium of the Buckingham County Administration Complex, the following members were present: Joe N. Chambers, Jr., Chairman; Robert C. "Bobby" Jones, Vice Chairman; Donald E. Bryan; Don Matthews; E. Morgan Dunnavant; Harry W. Bryant; and Danny R. Allen. Also present were Rebecca S. Carter, County Administrator; Karl Carter, Asst. County Administrator; Rebecca S. Cobb, Zoning Administrator; and E.M. Wright, Jr., County Attorney.

Re: Call to Order

Chairman Chambers called the meeting to order.

Re: Quorum

Chairman Chambers certified there was a quorum. Seven of seven members present and the meeting could continue.

Re: Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance

Chairman Chambers gave the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance was said by all who were in attendance.

Re: Announcements

There were none.

Re: Approval of Agenda

Supervisor Jones moved, Supervisor Bryant seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the agenda as presented.

Re: Approval of Minutes

Supervisor Dunnavant moved, Supervisor Bryant seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the minutes of the April 18, 21, and 25, 2016 meetings as presented.

Re: Approval of Claims

Supervisor Bryan moved, Supervisor Bryant seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the claims as presented.

Re: Public Comments

Marie Flowers: Howdy, I'm from the 3rd district. I'm going to read a letter that I wrote to Mrs. Carter. Dear Becky, I'm disturbed about the Board meeting of April 18th. I'm referring to the Dam issue. After the public meeting was closed, Mr. Dunnivant made an amendment that investors will be required a higher building standard than residential home owner. He stated that informing a prospective owner/builder of the dangers of building in a flood plain is sufficient. This changes the whole issue in my opinion. There should be another public hearing to address this change. Also can the Board do this kind of thing anytime it feels like it? In my opinion the investor is protected. What happens if the owner decides to rent the house? Will the property owner be able to sell the house that is not built to flood standards? Insurance will be sky high? We are in an earthquake zone. Can the County be sued for negligence for doing this? I'm disappointed in the Board. Not one of you guys who have been on this board for a long time spoke up to say hold your britches, we need to rethink this. Becky would you share my concerns with Mr. Wright? I'm sure he likes you better than me. Has this change gone before the zoning board to be talked out about what lender in their right mind would lend money to anyone who builds less to flood standard? Do we discriminate against the homeowner? I realize and see your point about trying to save people money but I think there are greater ramification than just saving people money. You can't...suppose he needs to sell his house? Suppose he rents his house out to someone? I feel that whatever building standards should be to both investors and resident homeowners because that homeowner may not be there all his life or that homeowner may have to rent that property at some point if that person goes to a nursing home and needs extra income. Umm, ok. That's all I have to say on this but I want you all to know that at the Mayfest, the Garden Club is going to have a fabulous plant sale so I hope you all can come and see what we have.

David Ball: How are you gentlemen today? I want to thank you for your service. David Ball, District 3. I'm sure you all heard the news about recently there was a pipeline explosion up in Pennsylvania. That's been a big news story. As we carry on the subject of ACP. Also, there have been several notices of changes in the route that have been filed by Dominion for the route. On a cursory study of the route as it courses through Buckingham it does cross 3 break inundation zones for watershed dams. Those are going to be vulnerable points of the pipeline without special engineering and I also want to continue to support the library effort. Thank you.

Re: VDOT Matters

Shippee: Good evening everyone. Starting with the maintenance update. With the rains this past week or so we are out touching up gravel roads. Most of them held up pretty well. We do have spots we are trying to address. We are out doing some plant mix leveling. We have our surface treatment scheduled for later this summer. Currently the contractor is finishing up the plant mix work. They are working on 15 now. Next two weeks or so they will be continuing to come down Route 15. Doing some boom ax work, brush cutting. All of our secondary mowing is anticipated to start on the 23rd of May. That's by contractor. VDOT personnel will start primary mowing probably after Memorial Day. We sprayed growth retardant on our primaries this year and that seems to be holding pretty well so we were able to push that back a little bit. In the meantime, as far as mowing goes, if you or any of your constituents have a need to do some intersection cutting for sight distance, they can call the 1-800-367-Road and do a work order or you guys can contact me and we'll try to address that for you.

Rt. 720 Georgia Creek Road, that's the last rural rustic road from last year's secondary six year plan. They were out there today starting on ditches and next week they will put down stone and surface treatment will be soon to follow. We can get that wrapped up here fairly soon.

Secondary Six Year Plan. I finally got some numbers for fiscal years 21 and 22 and they are good numbers. Problem I have right now is I don't have time to program them completely this year so what I'd like to do is set up a larger work session towards the fall this year or early spring where we can take a list of any potential roads. We've got about \$600,000 in our new district unpaved funds in 2021 and another \$600,000 in 2022 so we've got some pretty significant dollars to do some of these rural rustic and unpaved road projects. I just want to make sure that we take our time with this. I can get something in but we are looking at less than a month and that's a whole lot to do. What I can do is make sure that you guys have a copy of last year's secondary six year plan. Just go through and look to make sure priorities haven't changed. If we have something significant we want to change but what I recommend we do is set our six year plan public hearing for June with the priorities as they are if you are okay with them and then make changes next year. The reasons I say to leave them like they are is the next one on the list I think is Midland Road and it won't be fully funded this year. It will be fully funded next year so there is no new projects this year for construction on the secondary six year plan. We've got a little bit of time.

Aside from that...oh I'm sorry there is one more thing. The residency has about...we were allocated about \$59,000 in Safety and Operational improvement fund for use in Buckingham County. We've been looking at different projects that we can use that money for. \$60,000 doesn't go along way but it is something we can do something with. One of the things we are probably going to be working on in the next month or month and a half, Rt. 636, Stagecoach Road. That one closes pretty frequently. There is a pond that backs up to a culvert and it backs up regularly. One of the things we are looking at doing with this operational money is raise that road a little bit to help that problem out. We've got a list of two or three other projects that we are looking at. All of them would exceed the remaining dollars that are left. This is a fund that we anticipate to be recurring year after year. I'm always willing to...if you've got a safety

project that is going to be relatively low cost let me know and we will evaluate it and see if we can put these dollars to work.

Aside from that, I talked to most of you before the meeting. Is there anything else you would like me to look at?

Jones: You are going to carry the resurfacing all the way to the bridge at James River on 15?

Shippee: Yes. The bridge project will pick up the rest of it.

Matthews: That project on 636, Stagecoach Road. Is that due to the watershed or where is the water actually coming from?

Shippee: A lot of it is coming from that pond. The beavers are a regular occurrence down there.

Matthews: Right, but they put a pipe through that road several different times...

Shippee: They replaced that pipe within the last year and a half. The pipe was failing but that wasn't what was causing the backup. What's causing the backup is the level of the pond. I know our superintendent was planning on contacting the property owner to see if there was a way we can either address the beavers somehow or convince him to lower the level of that pond. If we could lower the level of that pond a few feet that would help tremendously.

Matthews: Exactly but you know that I funny land through there. When it gets wet it's wet. I don't know if raising that road bed very high...

Shippee: No, we are looking at probably 12 inches. Not going real high with it and where the water crosses over it we will probably put another pipe in. It's probably still going to flood but given the situation we've got right there we are trying the best we can to make it better.

Matthews: Maybe if we can get that guy to trap the beavers.

Shippee: I know in years past they have done that. But I know there was some regulation changes and I don't know if VDOT can request that anymore since it's on private property. I know that's one of the options we are looking at.

Matthews: There is a spillway in that pond and I know myself it's been stopped up several times. That would be another avenue to look at to make sure that thing is unclogged.

Shippee: The thing is the pond and dam is on private property so there is very little we can do rather than try to work with that property owner as much as we can.

Chambers: Any other Board member have any questions? Thank you.

There are no public hearings or presentations scheduled. So we come to Zoning Matters, Mrs. Cobb.

Re: Zoning Matters: Dam Break Inundation Zones

Cobb: This is involving the Dam Break Inundation Zones and the language for the Zoning Ordinance. So last month there was a recommendation with changing the language that was proposed and I was remiss in having you all to have another hearing when the language is changed that much there should be another public hearing to let the public speak on the proposed language. However I did address in the letter some concerns with the proposed language that once we got to hear it on the minutes and actually see what it says I do have some concerns so tonight I have given you three options of language and of course you can pick any of the three, amend any of the three, but whatever you decide to do we need to make sure we have that clear and understood so we can advertise appropriately and get everybody a chance to speak on it.

Dunnivant: Mrs. Cobb, are you asking us to have another public hearing on this next month and advertise for that?

Cobb: Yes, next month.

Chambers: Has the Board had a chance to read the letter? Is everybody good with the changes?

Matthews: You want us to pick one of these three options tonight? Is that what you want?

Cobb: Yes, I need you to pick one of the options tonight so that the public knows what is being proposed.

Chambers: You have options 1, 2, or 3.

Dunnivant: I prefer Option 1 myself. I prefer Option 1 better than what I proposed last month.

Cobb: So Option 1 is the basic language that was there last month except it does not require any type of certified drawings for building permits. It's basically letting the public know it doesn't type whether it is a developer or private owner. It just says we are going to let you know and you have an informed decision to make basically.

***Option 1.** All zoning permit applications shall include a statement whether the proposed structure is within, or encroaches into, a Dam Break Inundation Zone (DBIZ), as noted by the Dam Break Inundation Zone maps provided by Peter Francisco Soil and Water Conservation District. Applicants shall be notified at time of permit issuance if the structure is located in a DBIZ; however, no structure shall be prohibited as a result of being located in a DBIZ. Any permit from a structure within the DBIZ shall be forwarded to Peter Francisco Soil and Water Conservation District.*

The second option is the same language as last month.

Option 2. *All zoning permit applications shall include a statement whether the proposed structure is within, or encroaches into, a Dam Break Inundation Zone (DBIZ), as noted by the Dam Break Inundation Zone maps provided by Peter Francisco Soil and Water Conservation District. Applicants shall be notified at time of zoning permit issuance if the structure is located in a DBIZ; however, no structure shall be prohibited as a result of being located in a DBIZ. Any permit for a structure within a DBIZ shall be forwarded to Peter Francisco Soil and Water Conservation District. Dwelling plans must be certified by a registered design professional before any dwelling permit can be issued in a DBIZ.*

The third option includes Mr. Dunnivant' s language for last month.

Option 3. *All zoning permit applications shall include a statement whether the proposed structure is within, or encroaches into, a Dam Break Inundation Zone (DBIZ), as noted by the Dam Break Inundation Zone maps provided by Peter Francisco Soil and Water Conservation District. Applicants shall be notified at time of zoning permit issuance if the structure is located in a DBIZ; however, no structure shall be prohibited as a result of being located in a DBIZ. Any permit for a structure within a DBIZ shall be forwarded to Peter Francisco Soil and Water Conservation District. If a residence is built for speculation or immediate resale or subdivided development, dwelling plans must be certified by a registered design professional before any dwelling permit can be issued in a DBIZ. If the residence or structure is built by an owner occupant for the owner's personal use being made aware of the potential for flooding shall be sufficient and the requirement for a certified registered design professional shall not be required for private occupancy.*

Dunnivant: Do you want it in the form of a motion? I prefer number 1 to mine.

Chambers: Any other Board member have a comment.

Dunnivant: I move that we schedule a public hearing in regards to the Dam Break Inundation Zone applicable to option 1 as proposed by Mrs. Cobb.

Allen: Second.

Chambers: Motion by Supervisor Dunnivant, second by Supervisor Allen to take option 1 to advertise for public hearing next month. What time?

Dunnivant: During the board meeting.

Allen: Any time after 7.

Chambers: After 7. Ok. Any questions? All in favor? 7 yes.

Supervisor Dunnivant moved, Supervisor Allen seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to schedule a public hearing for June 13, 2016 to hear public comments on Option 1 for the Dam Break Inundation Zone changes for the Zoning Ordinance.

Re: Solid Waste: Consider the proposed Bates Solid Waste Site

Carter: I've provided you with a memorandum from the County Attorney to remind you that the previous Board did vote to start the process of Eminent Domain proceedings to acquire this land for the proposed solid waste site on 15 South near the current Bates site. In order to proceed with that it needs to be made known to proceed with that the purpose must be made known for that purpose. So if he starts that process it means the Board is agreeing to do that site. So he is asking direction from the Board of Supervisors. Some have questions about the cost. We have a proposed estimated cost provided by Mr. Hill of about \$205,000. I know there has been some talk among Board members, discussions about ways to make our solid waste program better and more cost effective. This can be referred to the Utilities Committee. That Utilities Committee is Supervisor Bryan and Dunnivant to come back with a recommendation to you all or you can later on have a work session because I know several Board members have some ideas. You might relate that to the Utilities Committee. That is your option. Unless you are prepared tonight to take action to move forward with this project.

Chambers: What is the pleasure of the Board?

Matthews: If we decide to move forward, even if we decide to do that, there is still no time frame on it. As long as we progress with it at some point and time. Is there a stipulation of a time frame?

Bryan: I asked Mr. Wright and he said we can do the eminent domain but that's the only thing we can do with the land.

Wright: That's correct.

Bryan: It doesn't mean we have to act on it tomorrow?

Wright: Act in what way?

Bryan: Develop it into a waste site? We can wait til...

Wright: That would be a limitation of the use of the site.

Bryan: Right, but it can wait until later to be used for a dump site?

Wright: Right.

Dunnivant: So we can go ahead and get you to proceed with eminent domain and hold the land until we are financially ready to execute it?

Wright: Yes, sir.

Chambers: You've heard from the County Attorney, what's your pleasure?

Matthews: I want to make a motion to move forward with that recommendation.

Bryan: I'll second that.

Chambers: Motion by Supervisor Matthews, second by Supervisor Bryan to move forward with the recommendation. Are there any questions?

Allen: Can you say the recommendation again?

Matthews: To acquire that piece of property through eminent domain with the understanding that it will be used for solid waste at some point and time by the County.

Allen: Nobody wants to go ahead with the solid waste site?

Matthews: I would like to move on but right now financially I don't think we can.

Dunnivant: We don't have the funds set up or earmarked for it.

Allen: \$200,000 and it's just an estimate. I've never heard of it being that high.

Bryant: I think that commercial entrance could be modified to a certain extent.

Carter: Mr. Hill said that VDOT provided him that.

Bryant: It depends on who he's talking to.

Carter: Ok. These are estimates.

Allen: Commercial entrance and a piece of concrete there.

Carter: This is a one-time expenditure. Not a recurring cost.

Matthews: I just think in the long run it's going to save you if you go this route because you will be able to control the site a lot better with the trash coming from the adjoining counties. It's pretty close to the county line. With that savings you are not going to see it pay for itself in a year but I think through the course of five or six years you are going to see where it's going to pay for itself in the long run.

Allen: I go along with getting the land, and fixing it up, I just thought...

Carter: There is not a roll off at the Bates site now, it really gets...that's where it really gets abused. With this, a roll off would be put there but it would be locked and manned. Locked at night and manned all the time. That's what our biggest problem is is the abuse. I think it will take some of the pressure off the Dillwyn site.

Jones: No question, it will be taking some of the pressure off, but to me that's an ultra-high figure. I believe one says this and one says the other, that's a whole lot of money at the present time to fix that site and we don't know if these figures are right or not. I go along with getting the eminent domain on it but not going to vote for the \$205,000.

Carter: No, we'd have to bid it out and bring it back to you.

Bryan: Absolutely.

Dunnivant: Only thing we are voting on right now is acquiring the land.

Matthews: What's the thing about grandfathered in as far as the entrance with VDOT? Mr. Shippee is already gone. That sites been like that for I know 35 years and probably longer. We are not changing anything as far as traffic flow at that site. Everything is going to be same. I thought that's what they based their commercial entrance on if something changes as far as the structure of the intersection of something going into something like that.

Bryan: I think the entrance has to be moved I want to say north towards Dillwyn because we don't own the land at Bates. So the entrance will be there beside the church.

Dunnivant: Where the dumpsters are sitting right now is extended VDOT right of way. VDOT right of way goes all the way to those porch columns at the old store there.

Carter: We didn't think it would need to be changed either but VDOT said it did.

Dunnivant: If we get the land we can continue to pursue that avenue with VDOT. As long as we don't have a time table pressuring us to take action on it. I'm ready to vote fellows.

Chambers: Let's vote. 7 yes.

Supervisor Matthews moved, Supervisor Bryan seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to have Mr. Wright proceed with the Eminent Domain proceedings for the property proposed for the solid waste site near the Bates site.

Re: Solid Waste: Consider cost for repairs to the Dillwyn Waste Site

Carter: We did receive 2 bids for this work. W.L. Shorty Kidd, \$10,800 and Bell Road Enterprise, \$17,325. According to our purchasing policy I do have authority to award this bid to the lowest bidder however we are bringing it to you all because I do want you to see them and prefer you all award this bid.

Dunnivant: Do you need a motion?

Carter: Yes, sir.

Dunnivant: I make a motion that we award this bid for repairs to Dillwyn Recycling Center to Shorty Kidd for \$10,800.

Bryant: Second.

Chambers: Motion by Supervisor Dunnivant and a second by Supervisor Bryant to award the bid to Shorty Kidd for \$10,800. Are there any questions? All in favor? 7 yes.

Supervisor Dunnivant moved, Supervisor Bryant seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to award the bid for repairs to the Dillwyn Recycling Center to Shorty Kidd for \$10,800.

Re: General Properties: Consider bids for purchasing/harvesting of Hay

Carter: Harvesting of the hay at the Industrial Park, we received one offer from Luther Thomas and Larry Davis in the amount of \$150.

Bryan: What did we get last year?

Carter: Probably around 2. Not much difference.

Chambers: Look like they are getting the hay free, they should cut it free.

Carter: It saves us from bush hogging. It takes our guys hours and hours to bushhogg.

K. Carter: They are paying us.

Bryan: They are paying us. This is \$150 they are paying us.

Chambers: I know. How many acres that is?

Dunnivant: They are purchasing it from us.

Chambers: I know that too. I'm trying to figure...they are still getting a good deal. Hay for \$20 a roll.

Bryan: So moved Mr. Chairman to Luther Thomas and Larry Davis for \$150.

Allen: Second

Chambers: A motion by Supervisor Bryan and a second by Supervisor Allen to award the bid to Mr. Davis and Thomas for \$150. Any questions? All in favor? 7 yes.

Supervisor Bryan moved, Supervisor Allen seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to award the bid for the purchase/harvesting of the hay at the Industrial Park to Luther Thomas and Larry Davis for \$150.

Re: 4-H- Consider request for an endowment fund for 4-H

Chambers: We tabled that last month. Mrs. Carter, you want to comment?

Carter: Yes, I believe that at the April meeting the gentleman from 4-H made a presentation requesting an endowment. I believe their total request was \$5,000 and said you could do a \$1000 a year. It's coming back to you now to consider the matter.

Chambers: What's the pleasure of the Board?

Allen: \$1000 a year for five years sounds good to me.

Chambers: I think we should participate in it.

Allen: I make a motion that we do \$5000, \$1000 a year for five years.

Bryan: \$1000 a year for 5 years? Second.

Chambers: A motion by Supervisor Allen, second by Supervisor Bryan to do \$1000 a year for 5 years. Any question? Ready to vote. 6 yes 1 no.

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Bryan seconded to participate in the 4-H Endowment Fund with \$1000 per year for 5 years. This motion passed with a 6-1 vote. Supervisor Dunnavant opposing.

Re: Consider Resolution of Appreciation for Business Appreciation month

**Buckingham County Business Appreciation Month
May 2016**

Whereas, Buckingham businesses play a pivotal role in strengthening our County by embracing job creation, innovative technologies, and employing a diverse workforce to preserve the economic well-being of all our citizens; and

Whereas, Buckingham businesses provide jobs to our citizens throughout the County and offer a variety of services and products worldwide; and

Whereas, Buckingham's businesses operate in diverse industries, including advanced manufacturing, tourism, agribusiness and information technology; and

Whereas, Buckingham County is pleased to recognize the accomplishments of our existing businesses; entrepreneurs; small, minority and woman owned businesses; and the major employers that contribute greatly to the economic recovery of our County; and

Whereas, it is fitting to recognize Buckingham businesses for the essential role they play in driving the Buckingham Economy;

Now, therefore, The Buckingham County Board of Supervisors, do hereby recognize May 2016 as BUSINESS APPRECIATION MONTH in our County of Buckingham, Virginia.

Allen: So moved.

Bryan: Second.

Chambers: Motion by Supervisor Allen, second by Supervisor Bryan to consider this. Any questions? All in favor? 7 yes.

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Bryan seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the Resolution of Appreciation to the Businesses for Business Appreciation Month.

Re: County Attorney Matters

Wright: Chairman, Members of the Board, I'm here to report to you tonight a matter that originated before some of you were on the Board has now been settled and put to bid. It concerned an easement with reservoir down here. We had a dispute with Mr. Smith and his wife. That has been obtained and an easement has been recorded and it's finished. It was the December meeting, authorized to settle that and that has now been accomplished. Just to make sure that the record is real clean if I could get a motion by the Board to affirm and ratify the action taken in settling the matter with the Smith's I would appreciate it. (Payment of \$35,000).

Bryan: So moved Mr. Chairman.

Allen: Second.

Chambers: A motion by Supervisor Bryan, second by Supervisor Allen to agree with this. Any questions? All in favor? 7 yes. Thank you Mr. Wright.

Supervisor Bryan moved, Supervisor Allen seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the settlement with Mr. Smith and his wife regarding the reservoir easement.

Re: County Administrator's Report

Update on Status of RFP for Dillwyn Primary School Evaluation: Mr. Carter is handing out to you a copy of this. I rushed in this afternoon and didn't see this and it was front and back. This is a draft or copy of the RFP of the work or information that you would like to have on the Dillwyn Primary School. I would like for you all to read this and give input on what you want on this before this goes out. You may want to wait until the next meeting. This is something that could cost us a lot of money or could cost us a little bit of money so I want to make sure everything that you all want is incorporated. You all did say you would like a cost estimate but not a design. I'm not sure if they can give us a cost estimate when we don't know what kind of design to give them.

Jones: We could put an amount not to exceed so much couldn't we?

Carter: I don't know if we can do that.

Dunnivant: Are you speaking on this RFP? I don't think we ought to give them any hints.

Wright: Not to exceed the cost of the proposal...generally when you make a selection of an individual you discuss with you don't get into costs until you get into actual negotiations. There is a line in there that award of the agreement will be based on costs and gives you the ability to accept or reject all bids. You have some flexibility. It's just an invitation to get some people in front of you so you can talk about the project.

Jones: I see where further on on the agenda there is an item there for the Board of Supervisors work session. Wouldn't that be a good time to get together to do something with this?

Chambers: I've got no problem with that. What is a good time to have a work session?

Bryan: Whenever is convenient with everyone on this board. The sooner the better.

Dunnivant: Sooner is better for me. I've got obligations I've got to deal with May 13-24.

Carter: I think the majority of this RFP is standard. But on Section 5, Scope of Services. That's the most important part. It says "the selected A/E shall furnish services to determine if it is feasible to renovate the former Dillwyn Primary School to house a library facility of approximately 10,000 square feet. Particular emphasis should be paid to the structural integrity of the building, the need, if any, for the abatement of asbestos, and a cost estimate, without doing an actual design, to modify the former school to house a library of approximately 10,000 square feet." So I'm seeing being asked the question, you want us to give us an estimate for design but what kind of design are you looking at. Other than that, you are going to get a standard so much per square foot of the going rate of a library building. Maybe that's what you are looking for because we are certainly not at a point to know. I also think there is another thing we have to keep in mind, this building is 21-22,000 sq. ft. and if you are only going to renovate 10,000 sq. ft. of it, how are you going to leave the rest of it. It's a lot to talk about with this so I think a work session would be a good idea.

Jones: There's 2 or 3 things we've considered looking at for that building at the same time and everything we put into it, whatever happens later, if we don't do anything with the library, it will certainly be good for us to show we put the money in there if someone would want to come and buy it.

Chambers: How about the 16th?

Dunnivant: I won't be here.

Carter: That's a Planning Commission Work Session.

Chambers: How about the 23rd?

Carter: That's the Planning Commission meeting.

Chambers: We could do it an hour before.

Carter: We'll need more than an hour. We don't need to rush.

Matthews: First week of June?

Bryan: Yeah, how about the 6th of June?

Jones: Will that suit with you? The first week of June.

Dunnivant: I don't think it would hurt to have a work session before the next meeting. This has been going on for quite a while. It's nothing new. It's not a wildfire.

Chambers: Is everyone good with June 6th at 6:00? After the meeting we will recess to reconvene on June 6th at 6:00. Anything else Mrs. Carter?

Carter: Under my report I just wanted to make you aware of the Byrne Justice Assistance Grant awarded to the Sheriff's Department in the amount of \$2,177 Federal funds with a \$242 matching grant. Generally this is used to buy the bullet proof vests.

This concludes my report Mr. Chairman.

Chambers: Any other matters? If not we will recess to reconvene on June 6th at 6:00.

There being no further business to discuss, Chairman Chambers recessed to reconvene on Monday, June 6, 2016 at 6:00 p.m. for a Board of Supervisors work session.

ATTEST:

Rebecca S. Carter
County Administrator

Joe N. Chambers, Jr.
Chairman