

**Buckingham County
Board of Supervisors
Joint Work Session with
Planning Commission
April 20, 2015**

At a joint work session of the Buckingham County Board of Supervisors and Planning Commission held on Monday, April 20, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. in the Peter Francisco Auditorium in the County Administration Complex, the following members were present: Danny R. Allen, Chairman; Joe N. Chambers, Jr., Vice-Chairman; John N. Staton; Cassandra Stish; E.A. "Bill" Talbert; and Donald E. Bryan. I. Monroe Snoddy was absent. Also present were Rebecca S. Carter, County Administrator; Karl Carter, Asst. County Administrator; Rebecca S. Cobb, Zoning Administrator and E.M. Wright, Jr., County Attorney.

Re: Call to Order

Planning Commission Chairman Bickford called the Planning Commission to order.

Chairman Allen reconvened the recessed meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

Re: Quorum

Chairman Allen certified there was a quorum: 6 of 7 member present and the Board of Supervisors meeting could continue. Supervisor Snoddy was absent.

Planning Commission Chairman Bickford certified there was a quorum: 7 or 8 members were present and the Planning Commission meeting could continue. Commissioner Smith was absent.

Re: Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance

Commissioner Gormus gave the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance was led by Commissioner Crews and was said by all who were in attendance.

Re: Public Comment

Bickford: Okay that brings us to our Public Comment period. Anyone that would like to speak please come forward to the podium and state your full name and address. You can speak on anything in the County. You have three minutes if you are representing or talking for yourself, five minutes if you happen to be representing a group. I'll now open it up for the floor.

Heather Noland: Hi I'm Heather Noland and I live in the James River District and would have loved to been here for the Supervisor part of things but didn't make it. And I learned that the letter to FERC was approved and the resolution as well with an extension of 120 days for the

scoping period. Oh sorry I thought this was amplifying into the audience too. So I'm just grateful that the Board of Supervisors acted and unanimously so I understand on the letter to FERC and on the resolution sending a clear message to FERC that we're concerned about safety and health within the County. And we're just very grateful for this and really wanted to thank you and we hope to keep that conversation open. And we're looking forward to sharing some of the wisdom and research that we've learned about from other locals who are looking at the impact of pipelines, 42" pipelines and compressor stations 32,000 HP and even smaller and even larger. So again thank you to the Board of Supervisors.

Bickford: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else like to speak?

Kenda Hanuman: Good evening. Kenda Hanuman, Cass Stish's District 5. We have a guest here with us here tonight who came to do a program this weekend, Bill Houston from New York. We wonder is it alright if we have him speak to you?

Bickford: I think that would be fine if he's speaking about a relevant issue in the County.

Kenda Hanuman: Okay. This is Bill Houston.

Bickford: Okay

Bill Houston: Thank you very much Supervisors, ladies and gentlemen. Can you hear me O.K? So I would just like to speak briefly about this the compressor station and also the Atlantic Coast Pipeline. I understand that you believe that this is going to be a positive economic impact for the County and what I would say from my experience and our experiences in New York State this is not born out by the facts. I have a good friend of mine that lives in the town of Windsor that has a 15,000 HP compressor just across the valley from where he lives and they were hit with what I call a triple whammy. The triple whammy was this. First of all they were hit with the direct impact of this compressor and they are grave. Noise impacts, smells and odors, massive amounts of air pollution comes out of this facility and they live in a valley. It's very hilly there. Then they were hit with loss of the property values. At least two properties that I know of the people don't live there anymore. The properties were basically abandoned. They were... The Town of Windsor has a noise ordinance and the operator of the compressor, Williams, has never been able to keep their compressor in compliance. They had 200 blow downs in one year. Over 200 blow downs which are very loud and polluting events and so the people that lived near the station were getting sick and having headaches and nosebleeds. So what Williams did was they bought out the house, at least one of the families. I don't know about the other one but one of the families that Williams bought the house, made them sign a non-disclosure agreement so they can't talk about what happened. And now the property is owned by Williams and it's made into an office. So the direct impacts of the compressor the loss of the property values. Right? The property values in the area have plummeted and then what happens when the property values goes down? What happens to the tax base? It goes down too. So they just reassessed the tax assessor just reassessed and upped everybody's tax rate. Triple whammy. I've seen some signs around here that say "all pain and no gain." That is absolutely true. So I hope you consider this very well

and lastly I just want to say about all the impacts, the noise impacts and the air pollution, I just want to talk about the air pollution. I've been studying the size of the compressor that they want to build in your County 32,000 HP. It's very large. We don't have any of that size anywhere in northeast Pennsylvania or in New York State. This is a very large facility and by my estimation the best numbers that I can come up with is that the air emissions are going to be 150,000 tons per year of greenhouse gas emissions. To give you an idea of what this is one coal train is... One coal car is 100 tons. A 100 car unit train is 10,000 tons. So 150,000 tons is a coal train 15 miles long. That's the amount of pollutants that are likely to go into your air should this compressor station come to town. So I would urge you to make it as difficult. I understand you have a limited jurisdiction over what you can do. I would at least ask you to look at passing a noise ordinance because that is one impact that you can mitigate with law. So thank you very much.

Bickford: You're welcome sir.

Swami Diahnadanda: Good evening. Is there a time that starts?

Bickford: Three minutes mam.

Swami Diahnadanda: Thank you. Actually I'm speaking on behalf of Yogaville so if I may have 5 minutes. I may not need it. My name is Swami Diahnadanda. I am one of the monks at Yogaville and so I am in the district with Ms. Stish. And first of all I also wish to express a deepest gratitude for her to represent the Yogaville's needs. I especially after the reroute of the pipeline. I think that the resolution has reflected the concerns of Yogaville people and also of Buckingham people. So thank you very much. We recently created what's called Yogaville Environmental Solutions, Y.E.S. YES. Because we really wanted to be more positive about this whole issue of pipeline and compressor stations. We didn't want to be saying no, no, no and be negative all the time. So we said what is this all about? Looking at the whole situation it's about energy and of course we all use cars. We all use electricity. We can't say we can't just let that go. I understand the importance. We all depending on the electricity that's provided through these pipelines and so on, all those pipelines already in existence. So it's already 3 minutes I spoke?

Cobb: No two.

Swami Diahnadanda: Okay I have a tendency to talk to long here so let me get back to. So we do have a common goal. Yogaville people, Buckingham people we all love our County Buckingham. So beautiful rural area. I hope you have already visited the Yogaville area to a beautiful view of Blue Ridge, James River. And then we have also a common ground that we care so much about the people of Buckingham. And that includes everyone in Buckingham. And we are all different you know different needs. So I would like to ask the Board of Supervisors from now on to go forward that the resolution asks for 1 year delay so the health risks is a huge concern for Yogaville people. And when I think of the Union Hill Baptist folks there compressor station is scheduled to be near those people. You know right where the

economically depressed area mostly African American group although that may not be the reason why it's there. But it turns out to be that way. And so we would love to see a more study done. There is a more scientific study on the research on the health risks. And they are now scientifically based not just on anecdotal. So I would love each of you Supervisors could look at them. What are going to be the actual results to the people who are going to live within a mile or two? Even Yogaville we're 5 miles away but according to the wind direction we could be getting methane and other chemicals. If you see infra-red portals of compressor station chemicals coming out, that's something that perhaps you have already seen it. But it is a concern. It's not fear based. We are not afraid. We just want facts. And we'd loved to be shared with you so that you could look at them. So the common ground is that we care about Buckingham and the environment as well and especially for the people of Buckingham. So if there's any way also Yogaville YES especially we would love to see renewable energy. This is why the community will go toward a more renewable energy as much as possible. And I understand that the solar panels and so on are getting much more assessable, cheaper and available and some of the committee members can begin to use this. And some towns and villages all around the U.S. have begun now to create jobs, a lot of jobs in that renewable energy. Can the Buckingham County together look at that together? Yogaville would want to work with you. We want to be part of prosperity for Buckingham. We really want to participate. We do really believe that we can all work together toward betterment for all of us. Let's care about what's going to happen to everybody not just... And we do care about the poorer folks in Buckingham. We want jobs for them. And so please know that we come from that place of wishing to work with you. Please I hope that we can do some service together. Please know if there is anything we can be of service we would want to. And thanks so much for this time.

Bickford: Thank you mam. Anyone else?

Chad Oba: I just want to say thanks. I think that this is headed in a much better direction. And I think that you're hearing our concerns and...

Bickford: Please state your name for the record. Name and address.

Chad Oba: Oh, I'm so sorry. Sorry. Chad Oba and I am in Joe Chambers' district yes Slate River.

Bickford: Thank you.

Chad Oba: Anyway I just thank you really just thank you. I think that this is really headed in the right direction. I live in an area that would be impacted by the compressor station. That's how I got into this. I think that's how everyone gets into it. It's the first thing you want to look at. Where is this thing? Where is it in relation to me? How is it going to impact me? But as I got into this I looked more broadly at it. And what I found out is this thing doesn't belong anywhere. It really doesn't. There's just too much destruction that goes with it, the bad air, the property devaluation, the noise. I really hope down the line that you will take more time to look at the things that we've been finding out and just find these things out for yourself. We've

invited you to come to meetings. We're having experts come in now. There's a lot of information from other places where they have compressor stations and gas lines. Please take a look at that. But you know I'm not going to diminish what you've already done here. Thank you so much.

Bickford: Thank you mam.

Bob Day: I'm Bob Day. I'm in the Ms. Stish's district. I'm not... I'm sure that it's crossed your mind but I would like to bring it back to the forefront. Natural gas 20 or 30 years ago was a holy grail. It really was. It was clean relatively easy to move around and it was pretty cheap to implement. And as such a lot people are fixated on it. The only problem is that we are right at the beginning of a new technology a new set of technologies. They're going to make all fossil fuels obsolete. Not only obsolete but the people that invest in them now will be missing the boat again. So it seems like Buckingham was on the back end of the fossil fuel train for so long and now finally you have this opportunity to get on the fossil fuel train just at the point where the fossil fuel train is about to derail. And when you get on that train there's a good chance that you're going to be left with reduced environmental quality, different things that you've been able to avoid so far. You have a wonderful place here you know with the fresh air and not too much traffic all these things that make Buckingham a wonderful place to live. But I'm afraid that when you start to do industrial development with the fossil fuel industries that in 10 or 20 years you're going to find them asking for subsidies because they can't compete with the new energies that are going on. So if you wait and work toward the new developments you'll be right at the beginning and on the first rung of the ladder so to speak rather than at the back end of the train.

Bickford: Thank you sir. Anyone else? Seeing none we'll close the Public Comment period and move to our Old Business the Comprehensive Plan. Todd I will turn over the floor to you.

Re: Old Business –Comprehensive Plan-Chapter 6- Goals, Objectives, Strategies

Fortune: Thank you everybody. Can you catch me over here on the mic? Good. Okay. From last time you may recall that we finished going over the Goals, Objectives and Strategies. And before we continue I wanted to just go back to that briefly and see if anybody had any questions, comments or concerns before we went to the next phase, the next section which is Chapter 7 Implementation and Action Plan. Does anybody have anything from the last time on the Goals, Objectives and Strategies? Yes Mr. Staton.

Staton: Page 186 goal #5.

Fortune: Okay go ahead.

Staton: Ensure that development is done in an environmentally sensitive, planned, and "green" manner that serves to preserve environmentally sensitive features and strike the rest of it because It's all regulated by federal and state law.

Fortune: How's the group feel about that?

Bickford: Does everyone understand?

Fortune: End at features and take out the rest.

Bickford: Right.

Fortune: Everybody okay with that?

Bickford: Yeah I think so.

Fortune: Okay so I'll go ahead and make a note to strike that and stop it at features. Okay

Staton: I have a question on 188.

Fortune: Okay

Staton: At some point I realized that we'll have to deal with noise especially in certain areas but we need to look carefully when we say noise only legitimate agricultural activities.

Fortune: Which specific strategy are you looking at 4?

Staton: Last thing listed as noise.

Fortune: Okay

Staton: You need to be very careful with that one if it stays in there.

Stish: We already have a noise ordinance. It's written in such a way as to address different types of noise.

Gormus: Omit.

Fortune: So would we... How do we want to do that? Do we want to leave it as is or make a wording change?

Stish: This is not the place for the specificity like that.

Fortune: Okay. Anything else before we go on to the next section?

Maxey: On that same page Strategy 1, I would like to add behind transfer of development rights, land use taxation and other tools. I'd like to note that.

Staton: Which one was that Chet?

Maxey: Strategy 1.

Fortune: How's the group feel about that?

Maxey: It's one of many tools but I'd like to note it as being one of the tools. Land use taxation.

Fortune: After transfer of development rights he'd like to put in the words land use taxation.

Maxey: Comment other tools within Buckingham County.

Stish: Explore the feasibility of and interest in. It's not saying you're going to do it.

Bickford: Right.

Fortune: Everybody's okay with that then?

Bickford: I think it looks like the Planning Commission approves.

Fortune: Anything else? If not we will go to the next section and I'm going to flip forward.

Re: Old Business-Comprehensive Plan-Chapter 7-Implementation/Action Plan

Fortune: Chapter 7 is the Implementation and Action Plan. And there are a couple of pieces here. The first one you have a lot of text in red starting with page 209 and going up to page 213 and we hope this is pretty self-explanatory. This is basically just an action plan for your next steps as far as implementation of the Comprehensive Plan itself. Those basically 5 pages does anyone have any comments, questions or concerns?

Staton: Not on the beginning but on 212 in brackets you've got including privately owned facilities.

Fortune: Oh down at the bottom you mean? Okay

Staton: I was thinking about renewable. Wind turbos would be in that along with other things. If they're privately owned and on private property as far as Action Step 2232 with due process I think deals with the Code of Virginia as we have to view it. I don't think that needs to be in there.

Fortune: So do you just want the words including privately owned facilities or are you talking about the whole thing?

Staton: No just take the bracketed portion out.

Fortune: So striking including privately owned facilities. How's the group feel about that?

Maxey: It's good.

Fortune: Alright we'll strike that.

Staton: And on 213 I've got a question mark on Capital Improvement at the top, construction and major alterations to buildings or other structures and street construction. Buckingham County does not have street in it on it that belongs to us.

Fortune: Okay What's the desire of the group on that one? He's talking about that opening paragraph there where it says third line.

Stish: What would be in a CIP? Is this the definition? Is it taken from the Virginia Plan or something?

Fortune: This is... Mary wrote this one so I can only assume that's where she got it.

Staton: It is probably you've heard me say it before when I first came on this Board and prior to in which I worked with people to shoot down VDOT on your devolution plan. The problem at the time the discussions that we had if we were not careful and if they could not get it through the General Assembly they would get it administratively. And I don't think we want it that way either. So any reference to the County having anything to do with roads other than already in the Six Year Plan so forth and so on.

Stish: Todd can you tell me how a change like that would affect say the bicycle plan that we did last time there were several State roads identified that we might want to put into the bicycle plan. I just don't know if this is a hook or if it matters.

Fortune: I don't know that... Because when we talk about the bicycle plan like you said you're basically talking about designating a road that already exists.

Stish: Right. But you're going to augment, you'll be doing something to enhance...

Cobb: Improvements.

Stish: Right.

Fortune: So it could involve improvements so...

Stanton: The State would be doing something with it.

Fortune: But you see John... His point is that the State would handle it and not the County.

Stish: Right it would be our CIP necessarily unless we were doing a split like one of those grants.

Fortune: Right.

Staton: If this is in our Capital Improvements...

Bryan: Unless she meant in the State's infinite wisdom the counties can kick in funds for...

Stish: A special targeted area.

Bryan: Yeah I... Yeah I think that's probably where she got it.

Fortune: Yeah that could be. She could be referring to revenue sharing.

Bryan: Right.

Fortune: Yeah.

Bryan: I mean that's what I would look at it as just basically. Because I know we don't own any roads.

Stish: It says street construction. We can strike that. I don't mind it being struck for the sake of at this point in conversation. We're trying to protect ourselves.

Bryan: Say revenue sharing for street construction.

Gormus: Would this include the Industrial Business Park? There will have to be streets there. Somebody will have to own them.

Bryan: Well the way it was explained to us Monday night if we build a road... Let's say you build a road in the Industrial Park, we have to build it to State standards before they will even think about taking over that road.

Cobb: So what she's say is that could be a Capital Project for us.

Bryan: Right. Right.

Gormus: So why would we strike that if we're...

Stish: Because John's saying that we have that in there it's like a gateway toward devolution of us just having to take over the roads all together. The State gradually devolves paying us anything for the roads, ever.

Gormus: I have seen in moving around the County signs that say, you know, pretty much let it be known that Buckingham does not own this street. It's near a subdivision and it said that the entrance to those that they were private though.

Stish: Yeah those are private.

Bickford: At one time we required that.

Gormus: Yeah.

Carter: Required it for subdivisions that didn't meet State requirements.

Bickford: Still do it.

Staton: We still require it for over 3 houses.

Bickford: Right.

Stish: It's a good point but at the same time it's like, if you... I don't think that they are going to be coming after us John to make us pay for anything in particular. But over the fact that we have street construction in the definition of CIP in our Comp Plan.

Staton: They could come forward and say take it out of your street construction budget in your Capital Improvement Plan.

Maxey: It's in the Comprehensive Plan.

Staton: Yup.

Stish: Well plan is alright. It's not a law.

Maxey: I don't know. I asked that question.

Gormus: It's a tool. That's what she kept saying a living document.

Maxey: And they can go to the Comprehensive Plan for just about everything.

Staton: And they will.

Talbert: And there's talk in the State that they want the counties to take over the roads anyway. I think there's 3 counties in the State of Virginia that do their own road system. The County, Buckingham will never be able to afford it.

Staton: It's one of those useless entanglements.

Gormus: But couldn't utility installation be the same? No?

Fortune: Yeah I don't think roads would be considered utilities. The utility refers to the electricity, water things like that.

Stish: Water and sewer. We do those already. With bonds, right? I think we should just leave... Alright you can take it out. It's fine with me.

Fortune: What's the group's pleasure on that?

Bickford: Planning Commission consensus?

Gormus: Remove it if it's a problem.

Bickford: Could be potential down the line. It's not a problem at this time. Potential. It's an opening.

Fortune: So is that a strike it?

Bickford: I think the Commissioners seem to be in favor.

Fortune: So it will say alterations to building or other structures, utility installation. Am I understanding that correctly?

Staton: Yes.

Bickford: Yes.

Cobb: Todd, also in that same category under responsible parties, I think the Planning Commission needs to be added to that as well because they are listed in the State Code as reviewing those projects.

Fortune: Is that for all three action steps?

Cobb: Yes.

Staton: The next action step should include the Board of Supervisors at least.

Fortune: That's easy enough to add. Is the group okay with that?

Consensus of group was yes.

Staton: Could you give me your interpretation of first action step.

Fortune: To prepare a five year capital improvement budget, I can try but basically as it is suggesting in there the county prepares a budget five years out and program and every year, yearly or more often if needed you revisit that plan at budget and make adjustments as necessary depending on resources and needs. That's just kind of a layman's version of how I read it.

Staton: There's a thought going around in the community, I'm not saying I agree with it but it's a thought. If we get too carried away with capital improvement programs, if we put money to those things, we'll be tempted, to say it politely, to spend it.

Fortune: Any thoughts from the group on that?

Stish: Do you mean if it's in a reserve or something specifically? The CIP? Is that what you are saying John?

Bryan: That's forward thinking, John.

Staton: I'm just telling you, I had a man tell me that all the reserves we just passed in that budget tonight, he said the money should be returned to the taxpayers. And not build a reserve. That's what's going around in the community.

Bryan: If that's the case, then your budget would be doing one of these numbers and the tax rate would be going up and down constantly.

Staton: I'm just telling you what I'm hearing.

Carter: If the revenues don't come in as projected you'll be broke.

Maxey: The problem has been the federal government has taken those reserves and spent them.

Talbert: Let me tell yall something. You go to Appomattox, you will see two cannons sitting on the side of the road right at Appomattox River. They had money left over in the park system, I know what I'm talking about, I spent 25 years up there. Those cannons are nothing in the world but aluminum cannons. People think they are original. They cost \$130,000 a piece. Going right back to what you said, they had money to spend so they spent it. I was up there and did all the buying and everything, come back on day and the boss said Bill don't you spend a dime, we don't have any money. Two weeks later we had \$30,000. I bought 7 chain saws, for two people to use them, two lawnmowers, and some other stuff that we didn't need but you had to spend that \$30,000. Don't tell me about the federal government now. I know the county don't do that. Ok. The county, Becky and Karl, they spend the money wisely. I've got to give them that.

Staton: In five years, none of us would be here.

Stish: Yeah, but that doesn't make...hold on.

Staton: A whole another Board and another way of looking at things.

Stish: We still...let's just say we because we are putting money aside, I'm using this as an example because it's kind of current. We decide to build this library. We don't really have the money yet and we don't know how we are going to do it. So we say, you know what, let's go ahead and put it in our five year capital improvements plan. It's an actual plan. We are going to put in the structure and say this year, this year, this year we are going to do this. We're going to build this year. We'll have all this money we saved up for our first debt service payment on this year and then the 2232 review as from the Code of Virginia that will say you have to consider the costs of that building and how much it's going to cost to operate that building for 30 years and you put that into the little structure and you have a plan. You have the plan financially and if the new Board comes along after us doesn't like the way we planned for the library, then they can sort that out. But it is our job to fiduciary, to take care of this business. And planning...We must do it. I'm sorry.

Talbert: Like that awesome school we've got down there. Some of the Board wanted to build a brand new one and we saved \$15 million by renovating. Same way with the school that we have that we could renovate for the library. That's how I look at it.

Stish: All those ideas, no, you don't have to build new construction. Any kind of improvement. Any kind of capital improvement could be put in it. Whether it's renovation or whether it's new construction. It doesn't matter. It doesn't matter what it is, Bill. The thing is we are going to say we are going to invest this much into these things for capital improvement over this amount of time and you are going to have a schedule. So we can inform people what our thinking is for five years and if some other board wants to change that CIP, they can. Unless of course it's tied up in a debt service contract but you know, they have flexibility.

Carter: If I could add, as you may know, our capital improvement plan was suspended this year because we feel like it's not a real capital improvement plan. We were doing things like giving extra money to fire and rescue and then we found that we shouldn't be putting money in there for debt payments we don't know is being...we were doing it wrong, period. So this will be a guide because we are trying to rewrite it by the next budget process to know. But it is a planning tool.

Stish: Our trash trucks should be in it. Buses. We are trying to figure out how to get this bus rotation back on track. Well, let's put it back in the plan. Put it on track.

Carter: It doesn't have to be construction projects.

Stish: Right.

Bickford: I think the concern is that it will be looked at but at the statement it says revising the program as necessary and adopting the budget annually. So even though you project out with a basic outline, each year, whoever is on the board decided whether to accept that budget or not.

Carter: They can change it or revise it.

Bickford: You've got that check and balance right there. To me it is important to project out. It may not be you know sort of a wish thing but it gives you some sort of guidance to where you are trying to go in 5 years but you are adjusting it annually. I think that is reasonable. I understand that gentleman's concern because of the way government usually works but I think that clarification that you adjust it annually and vote on it, I think that is a good catch on it, check on it I should say. That's just my opinion.

Allen: Do you understand what we want to do?

Fortune: That's what I want to get clarification on. Do you want to tweak the wording here or what exactly or leave it as is.

Allen: As is.

Gormus: As is.

Bickford: Everyone on the Planning Commission? Ok so everybody's understanding "as is".

Staton: I surrender.

Fortune: Anything else before we go into the Action Plan? Anything else on those pages before we get to the Action Plan?

Bickford: Commissioners?

Staton: Add the Board of Supervisors.

Fortune: I've got a note to add Planning Commission to all three of those and then the Board of Supervisors to the second one. Moving to the Action Plan. That's what I brought the easel stand for. Hopefully we can get through this fairly quickly. What we did here is trying to get basically get the county set up to have a list of priorities for the goals, objectives and strategies in each of these areas. I hope you all have had a chance to go back and look at all the goals, objectives and strategies and have an idea. Basically what we are asking you to do here is provide the top three in each area. Try to develop a consensus of priorities. It doesn't have to be three. It can be more than three. We can do more than that. In some areas, you may not have but one or two priorities and that is fine too. The idea here for each of these areas in the goals, objectives and strategies is to develop some priorities from what the Planning Commissioner and/or Board of Supervisors want to address over the next five years. So that's what I brought the easel stand for

because I want to write stuff down. Has anybody had a chance to look over this? Anybody have a list of priorities for each of these areas?

Bickford: Start with Planning?

Fortune: A consensus from the first one unless anyone has an objection let's just start there.

Bickford: I'll submit mine. For what good it'll do. I'll throw it out there. I think the first was strategy 3 which was the "Prepare a vision to the county zoning and subdivision ordinance in order to better accomplish the goal stated in the Comprehensive Plan."

Fortune: Can you repeat that, please?

Bickford: Strategy 3, "Prepare a vision to the county zoning and subdivision ordinance in order to better accomplish the goal stated in the Comprehensive Plan." I think that is the best way to see to make sure we are in compliance. Everything else can go. That's why I put that in there.

Fortune: Ok, strategy 3. What else?

Bickford: I put 2 to maintain the GIS system that the county has now. Maybe maintain and improve it.

Fortune: I don't have the list in front of me, which one is that?

Bickford: Three.

Fortune: Alright.

Bickford: Then the last one, I just put Strategy task force to identify development issues. It basically says "Appoint a task force comprised of residents, businesses and landowners in each area to identify development issues and suggest development plans for each area".

Fortune: Is that in strategy 3? Anyone else have anything for the list? Hopefully everyone can see it from here. What does everyone else have for planning? Anyone?

Stish: I think we need to include Objective for maintaining a service and existing resources, land uses and facilities. Strategy 2 regarding a Community Services/Facilities Plan. Public services and facilities needs.

Fortune: What was that again?

Stish: Strategy #2 under the second objective. Develop a Community Services/Facilities Plan to assess the County's current and future public services and facilities needs and provide a plan for addressing these needs in an efficient and cost effective manner. Of course our long range water

supply plan must be the next one....does that fall under our water supply thing a majiggy with CRC?

Fortune: Is that question directed to me?

Stish: Yes. Is strategy 1 regarding our long range water supply planning, do we do that with Peter Francisco and CRC right?

Fortune: CRC did that with Barbara Terry for the county.

Staton: What strategy was it, Cassie?

Stish: Strategy 2, John. I was thinking that it ties directly to what we were discussing with the CIP investiture.

Cobb: Cassie, are you saying you want that in addition to as a 4, as a #4?

Stish: Yes, Johnny threw out his top three. I don't mind those three but I do feel like strategy 2 regarding our facilities and community service needs to be in there.

Fortune: You can have more than 3 if you want. Anyone have anything else under planning? Did you have anything else, Cassandra?

Stish: Nope.

Fortune: Does anyone have anything else under planning?

Bickford: Would it make sense, Todd, to move my second one down to 4 and move Cassandra's up to the top 3. We already have the GIS system and we are certainly going to maintain it so it sort of..

Fortune: I would put it to the group on that. We could do that.

Stish: It would work for me. You are right. The GIS system is already in place.

Bickford: It's already there, hopefully they will make improvements...

Stish: So working on this other plan to identify what's needed would be great. Put mine as 2.

Fortune: Ok. So move the GIS down. Move GIS down or take it off all together?

Bickford: I think we can take it off all together. It's already in place, it's just a matter of maintaining it.

Bryan: Maintain to current standards just in case something changes.

Fortune: Ok. Leave it on or take it off?

Stish: It's a tool. I don't know. Any of these plans are going to be requiring the use of GIS. It's sort of an underlying technology that we didn't have and now we do. So it would be nice to take it off the plan and say "Yay, we did it".

Fortune: Ok, so we have three on this one. We have prepare county's vision on zoning and subdivision ordinances in order to better accomplish the goals set in the comprehensive plan. This is short hand so...comprise a task force comprised of residents, businesses and landowners in each area to identify development issues and Develop a community services/facilities plan to assess the county's current and future public services and facilities needs and provide a plan for addressing these needs in an efficient and cost effective manner.

Stish: Yes.

Fortune: Ok. Do you happen to know or want to take an order on these? Which one would be more important or higher priority?

Gormus: You have a statement that says programs are not listed in priority order.

Fortune: You are exactly right. So, scratch that question.

Bryan: Instead of numbering, just put a bullet on them. If you number them, people are going to think one is more important than three.

Fortune: Ok, we will use bullets instead of numbers. Ok. Alright, if nothing else on the planning, what about land use? What are your thoughts there?

Stish: Can we combine the corridor plans into like, just to say we are going to do detailed corridor plans of 60, 15, 20, 24 and 56?

Fortune: Which one are you looking at Cassandra?

Maxey: 6, 7, 8,

Fortune: I see it. Ok. 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10.

Stish: Yeah, seems like we could probably just put those together.

Fortune: That can be done easily enough. Does the group agree with that?

Bowe: Yeah. Sure.

Maxey: Strategy 1 should be in there.

Fortune: Ok. Strategy 1. Alright.

Stish: Yes, definitely that should be in there.

Bickford: Strategy 1 being land use taxation.

Stish: I guess the sister to that one.

Fortune: Explore the feasibility of and interest in establishing agricultural and forestall districts...

Bickford: Yeah

Stish: We have strategy 2 in here saying Encourage development within designated Village Center areas. And I was curious how that was going, guys, what do you think? Is it working? Encouragement in those areas or is it sort of feel like it's still more half hazardly happening all over?

Bickford: I've seen some success. Personally people ask me and I say...

Stish: It's helping. It's sort of...

Bickford: To me by being in there, you gives you something to say when somebody asks you a question and you can say you should look at this area. Whatever.

Stish: Then I think we need to keep that in there as a priority to continue to encourage that. Because I don't think it's quite yet in the mindset of everybody that these places are advantageous for development. That we would prefer them to make it maybe even look at make it even easier.

Fortune: So you want to make this as a priority on the action plan.

Carter: I agree because you have that tool to encourage then by the time it gets to the Planning Commission or Board, you really should be at the point that it should be ok within the comp plan. So you have the tool to encourage the developer of whatever they want to do that this is the best area to do it in.

Fortune: So you want to go ahead and put it as a priority in the action plan, Strategy 2?

Stish: Yes. Yes. I just question a query to the group here as to whether or not...because we did go through a round of amendments to the ordinances specifically for village center. Johnny, you and I worked hard on that.

Bickford: Yes.

Stish: Do we feel like they're sufficient? Do we remove any other barriers? Do you want to push back or block or plucking, do you see Becky or Becca that we might be able to remove impairments to help encourage development in these areas.

Cobb: I think we probably don't need to mention them again. I feel like by having it in the planning where it says we are going to review the zoning and subdivision ordinances. I think that will cover it.

Stish: Leave encourage there so that it will go hand in hand. Alright. I'm satisfied with that.

Bickford: I do have a question for Todd. On this Strategy 1 on page 189. Evaluate rezoning and conditional use permit applications to ensure consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and compatibility with the existing and planned land use character of the area. That could almost be incorporated with other objectives or do you want them separately listed. I guess the point is you want to make sure again that whatever you have on your land use matches your comprehensive plan. You can tie the two together.

Stish: One being in planning and one being in land use. Planning typically being planning and Land Use typically being a law, I think it might...I'd rather have them stated in each area.

Bickford: I have no problem with that.

Fortune: You were just asking about where it's located in the goals and objectives. You weren't actually mentioning it being a priority of yours or were you?

Bickford: Well, yeah. I'd like to.

Fortune: You would like...

Bickford: Because again it's the same because whatever we are doing it's going to be compatible with the Comprehensive Plan. What I was asking is would it make sense to incorporate it in the Planning because we've done it as a priority but beside the point it might make sense to keep it separate.

Fortune: I can see you point because the reason we have land use is because it has to be dealt with as such.

Stish: So strategy 2, aren't we...we are doing this...aren't we? Are we? Are we beyond exploring the feasibility of requiring the developer to provide the documentation of impacts? That's on our application already, right? So this one is done. We've already explored the feasibility and implemented this already. Is there any part of this Rebecca that needs to remain or be altered or can it just be struck out of the lot as something already in practice?

Carter: I think in practice if it proves necessary for an impact study to be done if the board or planning commission requests it so this kind of covers you.

Stish: So this stage...most of these things are...

Fortune: So you think leave that one in then?

Stish: But does it need to say for the feasibility of? Are we requiring?

Carter: I see what you mean. I think we need to change that. We already require feasibility.

Stish: We are doing it.

Fortune: So strike "Explore feasibility..."

Stish: To require?

Fortune: So you are talking about Strategy 2 on 189

Carter: When necessary require.

Fortune: Say it again, Becky.

Carter: Maybe when necessary require. It's not always required that a traffic analysis be done.

Fortune: Let me see if I have this correct. When necessary, require the developer to provide documentation of impacts of a proposed development including but not limited to, studies of traffic impact, historic...I won't read the whole thing. Is that...ok. Is everyone ok with that?

Carter: When you get on down further...

Stish: There's another...yeah.

Fortune: Do the same thing on the second sentence?

Stish: Yeah, we are doing all that already. Gold star for us.

Fortune: Alright. Do we have any other priorities for the action plan as far as land use goes or do you just want to use these three?

Gormus: So if we are changing it in one place or are you noting to change it both places?

Fortune: You mean that one strategy?

Gormus: So it will match. Uh-huh. I hope.

Fortune: Yeah.

Gormus: I think we missed that on the first go round.

Cobb: On land use I have 4 things, Todd.

Fortune: Ok, what do you have?

Cobb: Well, I mean just going from the conversation, I've got that under land use, Objective 1, Strategy 1 was mentioned. Strategy 2 was mentioned and then 6-10 was going to be combined into one thing about corridor plans and then on page 189, the next objective, that Strategy 1 as well.

Fortune: Ok, so the one's being combined, you want to list as a priority then? Am I understanding that correctly?

Cobb: I thought so.

Bickford: I'd like to add one more to make it 5.

Fortune: Ok. Give me just a second here to write this down. Go ahead, Mr. Bickford.

Bickford: It's under the objective on page 189, the second objective Direct the majority of future County development to areas designated by this Comprehensive Plan. Strategy 3, Manage future sprawl in rural and agricultural areas where adequate public facilities do not exist or where their provision would not be cost efficient. That's what a lot of the applications we are getting presently are. I think we need to have something to address that until we get more development. Manage might not be the right word. We may want something a little bit more defining than that.

Stish: Curtail.

Bickford: I guess it's somewhat answers it but...

Maxey: I agree with you. We need a better statement because ...

Bickford: But that I see is going on right now. That's what's coming to us now. It's going to be for the next few years the same thing.

Stish: We've been using the word encourage now we can say discourage.

Bickford: That will be...

Stish: Would that be...kind of keep everything the same.

Bickford: I can go along with that.

Fortune: So discourage future sprawl in rural and agricultural areas. Is everyone ok with that?

Maxey: The County doesn't need to be in the water/sewer business.

Fortune: I'll make a change to that one. So for priorities under land use I have page 188, Strategy 1, Explore the feasibility of, and interest in, establishing agricultural and forestall districts, conservation easements, a purchase development rights (PDR) program, transfer of development rights (TDR) and other tools within Buckingham County to conserve and protect prime farm land, natural areas, environmentally sensitive areas and forestlands. Then we've got Encourage development within designated Village Center Areas. Then I have the detailed highway corridor studies that will all be combined in one. Then I have Evaluate rezoning on the next page, Evaluate rezoning and conditional use permit applications to ensure consistency with the Comp Plan and then I have the next objective, Discourage future sprawl in rural and agricultural areas where adequate public facilities do not exist or where their provision would not be cost efficient. Did I catch everything? Also that could change, Strategy 2...

Bryan: John, John,

Bickford: Yes.

Bryan: Under that I mean explain that to me a little bit more what you are trying to get at.

Bickford: Which one?

Bryan: The one you added.

Bickford: The manage future sprawl, discourage...

Bryan: Yes, because we've identified growth areas that are not...that don't have county water and don't have county sewer. So if Bill wants to bring a widget factory here, and that's the only place he can build, that's sprawl, are we going to discourage that?

Bickford: It's really not directed towards that. Um, it's more directed...

Bryan: That's what I would look at. If you have that in there, that's how I would see it. That you don't want me to come unless I build where you have county sewer and county water and right now most of our county water and county sewer is around an established residences and homes.

Talbert: You bring up a good point. In other words, it's just like the multimillion dollar school going up over there. What you say is you don't want it...

Stish: But it doesn't have...yeah...the thing is when it comes to the Planning Commission, they have a conversation. You can't just...

Bryan: If I'm looking at it and I own a business...

Bickford: I understand what Donnie is saying...

Bryan: You are discouraging me to come there and under economic development...

Stish: We find that over here...

Cobb: I think we should change the wording there to say "Discourage future residential sprawl in rural and agricultural areas..." That way it allows for your business, allows for your industry but forbids the kind of house, house, house, house, house, house creeping out into Ag farm land so much.

Stish: We are trying to protect the farmland and crops.

Bickford: That was my objective.

Gormus: But somewhere in our comprehensive plan there was something about putting an emphasis on having affordable housing. So we have to be careful not to...

Bryan: I'm a landowner and I have 100 acres and I want to break my land up and let people break it up into 3 acre tracts, we need to discourage that.

Cobb: Most of what we heard from the community was that they didn't want to see that.

Stish: This plan, yes, this plan is where the people have spoken and the general temperament is that no 100 house developments would not really be favored.

Staton: It's easy to tell somebody else what to do with their property.

Bickford: I understand.

Bryan: Then I come back to you and say ok, so I'm a developer and I want to put in a community...I want to put in a bunch of homes. Well, where can I put them in?

Cobb: That's when we point to the land use map and I show you all the village centers.

Bryan: Ok, but they don't have water and sewer. That's contradicting what we have right here.

Stish: No, No.

Bryan: Yes it is. You are saying it does not have public utilities so what you are telling me right now is if I want to build I have to build where you have sewer and water.

Stish: Or where you can put something in that will be adequate to supply it and that is where the Village Center's come in. The Village Center's provide for that.

Staton: What I'm thinking, each 3 acre lot provides it.

Fortune: Maybe, would it be better to say discourage future residential sprawl in rural and agricultural areas not located within the Village Center. Is that too wordy or is that...

Gormus: I don't like the word discourage at all.

Bryan: Yeah, I don't like that word.

Gormus: That's the problem.

Stish: Let's come up with a different word then.

Bickford: You could still have...my intention was not even comprised of Village Center, as long as it's in a growth area. You've got 100 acres in one of our growth areas. That's what the growth areas is for.

Bryan: I don't have a problem with the word manage in there. The Planning Commission is going to manage it anyway. If it doesn't fit, then you know then it's going to be discouraged.

Bickford: Discourage is probably too strong a word.

Bryan: To strong.

Bowe: If the right man came along, he could build a subdivision bigger than any of your village centers. Has that every occurred to anybody?

Stish: It never occurred to me.

Bowe: It ought to.

Talbert: I was just fixing to say, Mr. Chairman, if you would allow me. That man has spent 40 years down in Chesterfield County and I talked with him and when they got into all this, what we are getting into now, it cost them millions of dollars just for employees to instigate all this stuff.

Bowe: We had a 4 story building.

Talbert: We're getting into the same thing. WE want to make it as simple as we can for people to come live in Buckingham and not...alright, when we did zoning, I was on the Planning Commission, I voted against and I'm still against it the way it's set up. It's never going to change. How much is it costing Buckingham County? John Kitchen says it's going to cost you ½ million dollars before it's over it and we are pretty much close to it. Because you've got to have zoning administrator you are paying, you've got to have all these other employees that's involved in it. So it's costing you ½ million dollars. Now if we get into all this right here that we are talking about, lawyers are going to get into it. First thing you know if anything happens in the county in less than 10 years you are going to have to have a law degree in order to get anything done. It happened when you go get a warrant out for anybody, like Mr. Ranson down here, you go down there and he'd write you out a warrant. Now you've got to have a lawyer's degree before you can apply for that job. Same thing is going to happen here. Watch and see what I tell you. I won't be here I don't reckon because my age, I'll be gone. But am I right Pat? It happened in Chesterfield.

Bowe: Yeah, absolutely. There's no doubt about it. You can make things way to complicated.

Talbert: That's exactly right.

Bowe: As far as I'm concerned personally, we didn't need this group to lead this thing. We could have done this. But...

Stish: We are trying to get it all done in one meeting.

Bowe: Let's get it done then.

Fortune: What's the group's pleasure?

Stish: What would you like to see there? Manage is fine. You don't want it at all? Let's here from the Planning Commission.

Fortune: Should I change it back to manage?

Gormus: If I had enough money I'd like to sprawl out everywhere I could.

Stish: That would certainly change the character of the county. I don't know, I'm just saying.

Gormus: I mean, you think about a place like Yogaville. If we said we are going to manage sprawl there, they already can't buy enough land.

Stish: They are in a village center district. So they are growing. They are doing what they are supposed to in that area.

Gormus: Ok. Every place at some point is going to be growing.

Maxey: You just don't want it to be at the cost of the county.

Gormus: Yeah.

Stish: Right.

Maxey: That's what I think John is getting at.

Stish: Right.

Maxey: If a developer comes in, we deal with a lot of them, they need to provide what they need for that subdivision. It needs to be clear rules as Pat says, they know what they are getting into. They do it.

Bickford: How about if we put manage future sprawl in rural and agricultural areas which are not designated as growth areas or village centers. Would that make it flow?

Stish: That would tie back to the ordinance for the use of village centers perhaps and the land use and subdivision ordinance follows. What do you think? Would that work Todd?

Fortune: Say it again, I'm sorry.

Stish: Just that we would not specify that it has to have water and sewer. We would just say it's not in a village center or designated growth area we are going to try to manage the sprawl from leaving those areas. Not that we are going to discourage it or stop it.

Fortune: So you mean strike the word...

Stish: I don't know if this is redundant though.

Cobb: You can say Manage future sprawl in rural and agricultural areas, strike the rest of it and say that are not in designated growth area or village centers. Is that what you said John?

Bickford: Well I'd just actually just put in that are not in growth areas or village center. We are adding a component that doesn't exist. Of course that is redundant because we've got public water and sewer in growth areas. But if this is harboring for anybody, I'll surrender. I'll be like John and surrender. There are other tools...we've got other tools...

Stish: We are already encouraging on the other side.

Fortune: So is everybody ok with the wording then? So say manage future sprawl...

Bickford: When you say...Becca how'd you read yours?

Stish: Did you write it down?

Cobb: I said Manage future sprawl in rural and agricultural areas that are not designated village center or as a growth area.

Bickford: Will that fly?

Fortune: Alright. So we have 5 priorities within land use. Anything else on land use before we go to economics? If not under economics, we have only four strategies, do we want to have any of those in priorities for the next five years?

Stish: The only one we've already said we were going to do is the action plan and I think we changed that to a five year CIP. We are seeking grants and considering bonding. Done it.

Fortune: So the only priorities under Economics is going to be strategy 1, Adopt a five year capital improvement plan (CIP) and updating annually.

Stish: Do we have a standards and criteria written for developer participation in capital projects. Do we have something there written? Do we want to abandon this or is it something that needs thought?

Carter: I don't think we should abandon it because it leaves it open for proffers to be offered. If you haven't established criteria you are almost asking for proffers which you can. So this leaves it open for negotiating where the developer would realize...we would tell them...say a big subdivision would come in and we would say that this would have this much impact on our water system or sewer system. Then you leave the door open to offer a proffer perhaps to...

Stish: So this is done by application.

Carter: Yes.

Stish: Ok. So I guess in strategy 1 and strategy 4 would be the only 2.

Fortune: Is everyone ok with that? Strategy 1 and 4 in economics. Next is economic development. What are your thoughts on that section?

Charlton: On page 191, Strategies 2 and 5, they seem a lot alike.

Fortune: Ok. Do you want us to combine those?

Charlton: Yeah.

Fortune: Is the group alright with that? Do you want that listed as a priority also or just combine them in the text?

Charlton: Just in the text.

Fortune: Alright, what else?

Cobb: I'll throw this one out for consideration. Page 190 the first objective, Strategy 4 appropriately zoned properties. I think that should be a priority because like we said a lot of the property is zoned agriculture and so they would read oh this is an agricultural area I shouldn't go there. But maybe it is an area that we do want something.

Stish: That's a good idea.

Fortune: Thoughts from the group. Are you okay with that?

Staton: Then you go to spot zoning.

Cobb: It's to prevent spot zoning. That's why we pick the area and do one area not one parcel.

Staton: That's not what we did the other night.

Stish: So would Strategy 4 could that be incorporated into Strategy 5? They seem like...

Bryan: Yeah a little bit. They kind of go hand in hand.

Fortune: So combine those two?

Stish: And then it has the... It does say where appropriate John. So it's not like its ironclad and it does have to be taken into consideration and actually there is three public hearings involved, or several public hearings involved.

Fortune: So we want to combine those two strategies. Do we want to have it as a priority under economic development then?

Stish: I'm reading through it again Todd. Hold on.

Fortune: So do you want to see if we have any other comments on this section before we go through priorities for economic development or did we have anything else that you had questions or concerns about?

Stish: There are a few workforce things sort of... I think there's one here. There's a couple. There's seek funding and support workforce training activities under Strategy 7 under Objective... what objective is this?

Fortune: Page 191.

Stish: And then it's again repeated as Strategy 8.

Fortune: Yeah one's under...

Bryan: One's under commercial and the other one's under retail business.

Fortune: Yeah, and I believe that's why we have it there twice. Do we have any other concerns under economic development? Anything? Any questions on the stuff on what we have worded here?

Stish: Becca, Becky are we already encouraging public and private sector collaboration in development of high quality commercial/industrial sites? Do you want this as a priority? On 191 under Strategy 9 at the bottom of the page.

Carter: It doesn't hurt to have it there in case you came into a project where it might be necessary to do.

Stish: I was thinking it might be a good one to have at the top of the list. Sifted out as an important one to focus on.

Talbert: Mr. Chairman if I may say I think we should go on through this. Because we don't want to stay all night like we did before.

Bickford: Yes sir dully noted.

Talbert: I think we've spent too much time. I respect our County Administrator. A whole lot of this as far as I'm concerned you can vote to accept the whole thing and then you can work it out.

Stish: I think we've got most of it already. The rest of it hasn't changed, a lot of this.

Fortune: Well the main reason we're here tonight is to just get our priorities set for each area. It's really up to the group as to how you want to do this. We still have a few priorities areas left to go. We could...

Stish: What do we have left Todd? How many are left?

Fortune: Let's see, after economic development I think we have five; transportation, community facilities, historical resources, housing and environment. It's really up to the group as to how you want to proceed. We can finish economic development then maybe give the rest of it to you as homework. And then I don't know how to handle it Mr. Bickford because the next month we just plan a Planning Commission work session as opposed to a joint.

Stish: You know I don't mind going through this and emailing what priorities I see in each area and if they accepted by you guys they do. If they don't, oh well.

Bryan: Mind if we email you Johnny?

Stish: Or Becca. To Rebecca Cobb and then she can present them to the Planning Commission at the next meeting. We just kind of keep this train moving.

Bickford: Or Becca either one.

Fortune: Yeah the next work session is scheduled for May 18th. I'm sorry Mr. Bryan.

Bryan: Send to Becca.

Cobb: That's fine with me.

Fortune: So the next work session is on May 18th. You need to have everything to Rebecca the week before so how much time do we want to give everybody to get these back to Mr. Bickford? Week, two weeks? One week? One week. So starting with economic development and going forward get your priorities into Mr. Bickford within the next week and then the County can get them to us and we can incorporate everything into the action plan and we'll have this... Excuse me. The intent was for the May 18th work session was to have an entire draft plan for consideration by the Planning Commission so if the group has any concerns about it let us know now. Yes the plan is for now on the 18th depending on what we get from you on the priorities have a draft of the plan finalized for Planning Commission review and comment and also go ahead and schedule the Planning Commission Public Hearing. So...

Bickford: Okay

Stish: So we should submit our priorities so you guys can consider what they are, figure out what they are and then we'll just roll on. But the draft plan the changes that you got that were in red none of the Supervisors here tonight have any problems with any of those additions or edits?

Fortune: Well what was in red was based on what was covered the last time.

Stish: Just wanted to make sure. It looks different when you read it. Just making sure.

Bickford: What I've seen so far it doesn't appear to be that much different between what the Board of Supervisors wants and what the Planning Commission wants.

Todd: What I'll do is I'll make these changes that you have tonight and that will be included in the draft plan for next time.

Charlton: I do have one concern.

Bickford: Yes go ahead.

Charlton: Both on transportation, community facilities and services. Those sections have so many elements to them that just picking a single objective is difficult.

Stish: That's a good point. They're rather robust.

Charlton: There's so many points. It's hard to pull out one.

Todd: I'll refer to the group on that. I mean you don't have to limit yourself to just three priorities. You really don't have to limit yourself to five either. Really this is your plan so whatever the group feels comfortable with.

Stish: Well just so they're reasonable. You know we should be somewhat smart and I feel like we should be able to accomplish it whatever the priorities are. We can always add new priorities after we get them done. That's the beauty of it. If you put too many on there the list becomes unmanageable.

Gormus: And somewhere in there we need to add the bicycle plan that they just adopted the other night. That needs to go in I guess transportation. That would be part of that. That would be a big thing. That's a right big thing, a lot of stuff.

Todd: Transportation.

Bickford: Alright we're done playing with that.

Todd: And by the way let me apologize. Mary was unable to make it tonight because she had a meeting with Blackstone Town Council. So she's down there this evening.

Stish: It's okay Todd. You did good.

Allen: So what is the last date we've got to have all the recommendations in by? The 11th?

Todd: Let me see what is today? No I think...

Cobb: Email me by next Monday.

Todd: That would be the 27th.

Stish: April 27th.

Gormus: Does it need to be before that because we have a meeting that night. Is that right?

Cobb: That's going to be on a different topic.

Gormus: Yeah but you don't want to hand out anything?

Cobb: No because what I'm going to be collecting and giving them to Todd who will then do his magic and then get back to me to give to you all.

Gormus: Oh, okay.

Stish: We don't want to know how this sausage is made Todd. I know it's us.

Todd: So Mr. Chair it looks like we're at a good stopping point so I'll turn it back over to you unless you have anything else for me.

Bickford: No I don't. I think we have a plan of action let's see if we can make it work. Okay, that brings us to Commission/Board Matters.

Re: Commission and Board Matters/Concerns

Bickford: Do the Commissioners have anything they want to speak on at this time? Board of Supervisors have anything they'd like to speak on?

Stish: Thanks for having us. It's been fun.

Re: County Administrator Matters

Bickford: Okay. County Administrator, do you have anything for us?

Carter: No don't have anything.

Bickford: Zoning Administrator?

Cobb: No.

Bickford: Okay. Danny I'll turn it over to you for adjournment of Board of Supervisors.

Re: Adjournment of Board of Supervisors

Allen: The Board of Supervisors meeting is adjourned.

Re: Adjournment of Planning Commission

Bickford: That brings us to adjournment of Planning Commission. Do I have a motion to adjourn?

Vice-Chair Gormus moved, Commissioner Charlton seconded and was unanimously carried by the Commission to adjourn the meeting.

ATTEST:

Rebecca S. Carter
County Administrator

Danny R. Allen
Chairman